

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMERCE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231

WWW.aupto.gov.

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/865,548	05/29/2001	Eilen Barnea	01/22080	4020	
759	04/03/2003				
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.			EXAMINER		
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA SUITE 207			BORIN, MICHAEL L		
2001 JEFFERSO ARLINGTON, V	ON DAVIS HIGHWAY		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•		
			Application No. 09/865,548	Applicant(s)  Barnea et al		
Office Action Summary		Examiner Michael Borin		Art Unit 1631		
	- The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appear	s on the cover sheet w	ith the corre	spondence addi	ress —
THE N - Extensi mailing - If the p - If NO p - Feilure		FOR REPLY IS SE INICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136 (e). r (30) days, a reply within statutory period will apply by will, by statute, ceus- ins after the mailing date on	In no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirt y end will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely file y (30) days will I HS from the mail	H(S) FROM  d after SIX (6) MONT  oe considered timely, ing date of this corns  S.C. § 133).	THS from the
Status			2002			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s					
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL.		ection is non-final.			le - as -sièn in
3) 🗆	Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p	ition for allowand tractice under <i>Ex</i>	e except for formal m parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, pros .D. 11; 453	ecution as to 1 3 O.G. 213.	ne ments is
Disposi	tion of Claims			ie/a	re nending in t	he application.
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u>					
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) 1-36,	40-42, 44-47, an	d 50	is/a	are withdrawn	from consideration.
	Claim(s)				_ is/are allowe	d.
6) 😿	Claim(s) 37-39, 43, 48, and 49				_ is/are rejecte	ed.
	Claim(s)				_ is/are object	ed to.
0, 🗆	Claims		are sub	ject to rest	riction and/or e	election requirement.
	etion Papers					
a. 🗆	Ti	by the Examiner				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on	is/	are a) 🗌 accepted or	b)□ objec	ted to by the	Examiner.
	a strain and a second about	any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in	abeyance.	See 3/ CFR 1.8	5(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correcti	on filed on	is: a)	approve	d b) 🗌 disapp	roved by the Examine
,-	If approved, corrected drawings	are required in re	ply to this Office action			
12)	The oath or declaration is obje					
Delouis	dor 25 U.S.C. 88 119 and 1	20				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of	a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) Or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ No					
	1. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents	have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents	have been received in	Application	n No	
	Copies of the certified constraint application from the stacked detailed Office					ai Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of	a claim for dome	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 1	19(e).	
۱ ۵۰	The translation of the foreign	n language provis	ional application has I	oeen receiv	ed.	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of	a claim for dome	estic priority under 35	U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 12	1.

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial Number: 09/865548

Art Unit: 1631

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Status of Claims

1. Response to restriction requirement filed 10/28/2002 is acknowledged. Applicant elected, without traverse, Group XIII, and peptide SEQ ID No. 13. Claims reading on the elected invention are 37-39,43,48,49¹. Claims 1-36,40-42, 44-47,50 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected groups. Cancellation of claims 1-36,40-42, 44-47,50 and amendment of claims 37-39,43,48,49 to read on elected invention (peptide SEQ ID No. 13) is requested.

#### Title, Abstract

2. The title and abstract of the invention are not descriptive. The title and abstract do not reflect the elected invention. A new title and abstract are required which are clearly indicative of the invention to which the elected claims are directed.

## Sequence Listing

The Sequence Listing was approved by STIC for matters of form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Examiner disagrees with applicant that claims 40-42 read on the elected invention: the claims are not drawn to SEQ ID No. 13.

Serial Number: 09/865548

Art Unit: 1631

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 39,49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "in context of a ... cell" in the claims is not clear.

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101/112-1

The pending claims have been reviewed in light of the Utility Examination Guidelines and Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1092-1111, Friday, January 5, 2001.

The examiner is using the following definitions in evaluating the claims for utility.

"Specific" - A utility that is specific to the subject matter claimed. This contrasts with a general utility that would be applicable to the broad class of the invention.

"Substantial" - A utility that defines a "real world" use. Utilities that require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use

are not substantial utilities. The following are examples of situations that require or constitute

Serial Number: 09/865548 Page 4

Art Unit: 1631

carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use and, therefore, do not define "substantial utilities":

A. Basic research such as studying the properties of the claimed product itself or the mechanisms in which the material is involved.

B. A method of treating an unspecified disease or condition. (Note, this is in contrast to the general rule that treatments of specific diseases or conditions meet the criteria of 35 U.S.C. § 101.)

D. A method of making a material that itself has no specific, substantial, and credible utility.

E. A claim to an intermediate product for use in making a final product that has no specific, substantial, and credible utility.

"Credible" - Credibility is assessed from the perspective of one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the disclosure and any other evidence of record that is probative of the applicant's assertions. That is, the assertion is an inherently unbelievable undertaking or involves implausible scientific principles.

"Well-established" - a specific, substantial, and credible utility which is well known, immediately apparent, or implied by the specification's disclosure of the properties of a material, alone or taken with the knowledge of one skilled in the art.

See also the MPEP at §§ 2107 - 2107.02.

5. Claims 37-39,43,48,49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

The claims are drawn to peptide SEQ ID No.13. Specification informs that the peptide is recovered from soluble MHC-s from HLA-A2 and is identified by computer analysis as a fragment from DNA methyl transferase (p. 65, line 20 and Table 8, p.

66). The peptide is addressed in Table 9 which demonstrate that the peptide is not

Page 5

Serial Number: 09/865548

Art Unit: 1631

specific to any particular cell type - it is present in all types of cells described in the Table. P. 98 mentions that parent protein, MTDM (DNA methyl transferase) is expressed in different cancer cell types; however, there is no showing that this enzyme is specific to cancer cells. Contrary, Niederreither et al teach that this enzyme is present in various tissues (see abstract). In addition, specification (p. 90, lines 43-45) teaches that only a few out of thousands of MHC-binding peptides may eventually become candidates for development of anti-cancer vaccines. No particular information about beneficial features of peptide SEQ ID No. 13 is present.

The examiner does not find an adequate nexus between the evidence of record and the asserted properties of the claimed subject matter. Identifying use of the claimed polypeptide would require carrying out further research. Utilities that require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use are not substantial utilities. The potential specific utilities suggested by applicant are an invitation to do further research to search for a specific and substantial utility for each peptide modeled according to the claimed method. Further, no readily apparent well-established utility for any one peptide designed according to the method is set forth in the specification. Applicant is reminded of *Brenner v. Manson*, 383 U.S. 519, 534-35, 148 USPQ 689 (1966), which stated that

Art Unit: 1631

"a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion."

6. Claims 37-39,43,48,49 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility, one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion.

- 7. No claims are allowed
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4506. Dr. Borin can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 308-4028. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3014.

Serial Number: 09/865548

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

April 1, 2003

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

mlb